The Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above demonstrating the Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above demonstrating remarking to the consideration in view of the amendment and the following remarking to the chain 21 is substantially the same as previous claim 4, but rewritten in independent form.

The claim 22 is substantially the same as previous claim 9, but is dependent on claim 23.

New claim 23 is substantially the same as previous claim 9, but is dependent on claim 23.

New claim 23 is substantially the same as previous claim 9, but is new claim 23 is substantially the same as previous claim 9, but is separated in independent form.

New claim 23 is substantially the same as previous claim 14, but rewritten in independent form.

New claim 23 is substantially the same as previous claim 14, but rewritten in independent form.

New claim 23 is substantially the same as previous claim 14, but rewritten in independent form.

Regarding the rejections of the claims, applicant respectfully it rewritten in independent form.

Regarding the rejections of the claims 1, 11, and 18 in response to the rejection of claims 1, 11, and 18 in response to the rejection of claims 2-3, 5-7, 10, 12-13, 15-17 and 19-10 under 18 USC 103 (a) for allogedly being unparentable over in response to the rejection of claims 2-3, 5-7, 10, 12-13, 15-17 and 19-10 under 18 USC 103 (a) for allogedly being unparentable over in by Gutta et. al., the face are therefore et. al. in view of "wixture of Experts ..." by Gutta et. al., the face are therefore gender, e-land order and pose, thus, it is not a face recognition as parten. The "Pace Recognition ..." by Corente et. al. is based on calculating eigenfaces. It is not page. It is not expendent claim 1 to combine a neutral network face the classifier with an edgenface based face recognition of applicants claim 1 to combine a neutral network face in with an edgenface based face recognition of applicants claim 1 to combine to recognition of applicants claim 1 to combine a neutral classifier with an eigenface based face recognizer, and it is not clear that there would be any advantage of the system proposed by the

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims

The Commissioner is Lereby authorized to credit any overpayment or any required Charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270

Respectfully submitted,

By Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357. Senior Patent Attorney (914) 333-9643

PAGE 13/13 \* RCVD AT 2/6/2006 6:50:04 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/27 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:914 332 0615 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-16